Promoting regulatory experimentation

NSW Productivity Commission

Tue 24 Oct 2023 | 9:30am-11:30am





Acknowledgement of Country



We acknowledge that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples are the First Peoples and Traditional Custodians of Australia, and the oldest continuing culture in human history. We pay respect to Elders past and present and commit to respecting the lands we walk on, and the communities we walk with.

Artwork: *Regeneration* by Josie Rose



Workshop agenda







Introduction and background

COVID spurred a series of regulatory experiments







Trial of a new product, service, approach or process designed to generate evidence and inform regulatory design

Examples:



Compliance

processes



Internal processes



63



Communicating with customers

Continuous improvement

Sandboxes

Finding what works to reach better outcomes for businesses and consumers







Barriers and enablers of regulatory experimentation



Overview

- What is Regulatory Experimentation?
- Key **barriers** to experimentation
- Key facilitators to experimentation
- When to do and when not to do RegEx?
- An opportunity to share ideas on how to overcome barriers



What is Regulatory Experimentation?

THE BEHAVIOURAL INSIGHTS TEAM



Examples of RegEx

Regulatory experimentation can vary substantially. It can focus on:

- **Compliance processes** (e.g. trialling new methods of ensuring compliance, such as sending reminders to regulated entities).
- **Internal processes** (e.g. changing eligibility criteria for funding industry partners).
- Industry-consumer processes (e.g. encouraging consumers to engage with the market).
- Continual evaluation of implementation of large policy reforms (e.g. tweaking the rollout of how reforms should be communicated).

Some of these are constrained by sandboxes, others are done at scale.



11

Why do RegEx?

There are several benefits:

- 1. Transition from standard compliance models into new, more effective models, without compromising regulatory outcomes.
- 2. Prevents the rollout of ineffective policies.
- 3. Builds internal capability, which means that regulatory experimentation becomes more efficient over time, and individuals gain transferable skills.



Our project methodology





Overview of our work

- 25 interviews completed with:
 - NSW interviewees
 - Non-NSW interviewees, from jurisdictions including:
 - Singapore
 - Canadian State Government
 - Canadian Federal Government
 - The UK
 - Denmark
 - The Australian Government



Key barriers to experimentation

THE BEHAVIOURAL INSIGHTS TEAM





Key barriers







Resource and logistical constraints

Risk aversion

Specific attitudes

Resource and logistical constraints I

Costs and resources: RegEx requires dedicated staff time and may require financial support. Funding for BAU can be a constraint, but a trigger for innovation.

Time scales: Policy outcomes may be needed quickly, but RegEx can be built into 5 year review cycles.

Lack of specialised knowledge & data: Staff and infrastructure may not be available.

"The volume of things we have to deal with is so significant that it can overwhelm people's ability to try new things or think of different approaches." -NSW regulator 10

"It's not the lack of data, it's the lack of organised, curated data, and a need to grow in maturity before we can move forward." - NSW regulator 10



Resource and logistical constraints II

Complexity of regulatory processes: Even with institutional support, ethical approval or very prescriptive legislation can be a barrier.

Finding partners: Experiments may require collaboration with industry partners, which can prove challenging.

Stakeholder scale and diversity: Some regulatory domains have a large number of stakeholders with diverse views.

"Large providers are resourced to navigate the complex regulatory frameworks but small providers aren't" - Non-NSW regulator 12

"We deal a lot with peak groups, community organisations, and councils that expect to be able to have a formal say on reform. Doing things outside of normal processes won't always be well received by these groups." - NSW regulator 5

Risk Aversion

Both NSW and non-NSW regulators cited risk aversion as a key barrier. This manifested in a few ways, including:

- Risk aversion **within** the organisation, particularly if something went wrong.
- Risk aversion from potential trial partners.

One interviewee highlighted that risk calculations may be inaccurate - risks are often compared to a "zero risk" situation, rather than the situation on the ground. "Risk perception - that's the biggest barrier ... people see a risk in changing supposedly working systems to something that is unknown." - NSW regulator 8

" [There is] an aversion to risk. 'This is how we've always done it, don't have funding to do this differently, why would we change it?' " -NSW regulator 11



Specific attitudes

Community perceptions of regulators' roles and risks:

The community may expect certain levels or types of activity and perceptions of risk may not allow for experimentation.

Fear of failure: A common concern raised was the fear of failure, and how bad results would be received.

Lack of experimental culture: Some regulators don't really consider RegEx when formulating policy.

Internal resistance: In other cases, there is active resistance to experiments. If ideology is a driver, then no amount of evidence will overcome it.

"You need to think very carefully about how you handle failures/non-results." - Non-NSW regulator 7

"People will often talk a big game of wanting innovation, but when the time comes, individuals get nervous about being the first ones." - NSW regulator 3

"Sometimes the barrier to change is: 'this is the way we do things' " - NSW regulator 5

20

Key enablers and drivers of experimentation

BEHAVIOURAL INSIGHTS TEAM





Key enablers







Institutional support

Building internal capacity

Framing



Collaboration



Clear rationales

Institutional support

One of the strongest factors cited by successful experimenters was strong institutional support.

Support came from senior leadership, which helped create a change in culture, advocate for resourcing and overcome risk aversion.

It can also come through explicit commitments to experimentation in strategic plans or in public statements by ministers. This gives a mandate for experimentation. "The ministers endorsement ensures we have engagement and commitment ... In general it's given us greater confidence to implement this." -NSW regulator 5

"Having [experimentation] publicly stated in our strategic plan helps senior leaders overcome risk" - Non-NSW regulator 8



Building internal capacity

Developing an internal team: Some experimenters have dedicated teams behavioural insights teams, or evaluation and experimentation teams.

Bringing in outside expertise: Others started by leaning on externals, partly to build expertise or gain credibility.

Starting small: Small, contained experiments can build momentum and acceptance.

Using online trials where needed: These represent a lower cost and more rapid option than field trials. NSW regulators could consider online experimentation as a low-risk way of starting the process of experimentation.



"The first experiment and the hundredth experiment will be very different. The first will be more expensive, longer, and worse. But it takes time to build up this capacity..." - Non-NSW regulator 7

"We do have some research ability within the department, but we don't quite have the manpower... To have credibility with both industry and [stakeholders], it needs to be an established academic institution.." - NSW regulator 3

"Online trials are more agile and faster, but they have their limitations." - Non-NSW regulator 6

Communication and framing

Focus on building awareness: Having a clear internal and external communication strategy was critical.

Consider how risks are framed: Some organisations thought that the terms "experimentation" carried connotations of high cost / risk. Highlighting that RegEx can explicitly test potential risks is useful, as is embracing the notion of innovation. Consider 'what are the costs of not doing this?"

"At the start we did a lot of advocacy... now we have teams coming to us." - Non-NSW regulator 5

"Everybody is interested and passionate... it's about which changes will make a difference attaching to that passion, that's how you can convince others." - NSW regulator 11

"Research is already valued within the organisation." - Non-NSW regulator 8

Collaboration

Working with others breaks down barriers: Partnership with other agencies can overcome the barrier of wading through complexities of regulatory change necessary for experimentation across multiple agencies' domains.

Co-designing boundaries for experimentation with industry and stakeholders builds influence and buy-in.

Transparency aids diffusion: Partnership from the start allows lessons to be diffused much faster and more effectively. Even if the experiments fail.

"Teams see each other doing regulatory experimentation and say 'Oh I didn't know you could do that!" - NSW regulator 13

"We took the best things out of the regulatory framework in other jurisdictions." - NSW regulator 13



Clear rationale for experimentation

A clear objective is critical: It helps stakeholders see the value of the project and justify an experiment based on its need. Understanding how an experiment will aid the organisation's objective is also critical.

Regulatory sandboxing can provide clarity:

Establishing a regulatory sandbox can help to clearly define the scope of what experimentation will cover. It allows regulators to set boundaries around any experimentation.



"Having clear scope of what is allowed in the sandbox is an enabler for experimentation." - NSW regulator 2

"My advice to other organisations is to have a clear objective" - Non-NSW regulator 8

When to experiment - and when not to

THE BEHAVIOURAL INSIGHTS TEAM



Areas **more** suitable for experimentation

The following features as important for experimentation:

- Where there is uncertainty about outcomes.
- Where outcomes can be measured fairly quickly.
- One-off behaviours or isolated behaviours.
- Where there is a low risk of **tragic** outcomes in the event that the experiment fails.

"When there is more uncertainty, is when it's more valuable... Experimentation is not needed when you already know what you are likely to find" -Non-NSW regulator 8

"Areas where there is not too long of a runway to gather evidence - they are easier to sell to governments." - NSW regulator 9

Areas **less** suitable for experimentation

These factors were identified can make regulatory experimentation less suitable:

- Where outcomes are hard to define and measure.
- Where there is a risk of tragic outcomes (e.g., in areas concerned with safety).
- Where behaviours are complex, multifactor, or take a long time to influence and manifest.
- Where the idea has already been decided, and all that is being decided is implementation.

"We made it explicit that we would never waive any rules that negatively impact consumers - e.g. we would never take away hardship protections" -Non-NSW regulator 12

"Certain areas are definitely more suitable for experiments. It's very hard to experiment with property rights, because you can't take something away from somebody." - NSW regulator 5









Discussion: which barriers are most prevalent?







slido



Discussion: facilitating regulatory experimentation



How have other organisations overcome barriers?







Wrap up



NSW Productivity Commission



Thank you for joining us



This publication is protected by copyright. With the exception of (a) any coat of arms, logo, trade mark or other branding; (b) any third party intellectual property; and (c) personal information such as photographs of people, this publication is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia Licence.

The licence terms are available at the Creative Commons website at: creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/legalcode

NSW Treasury requires that it be attributed as creator of the licensed material in the following manner: © State of New South Wales (NSW Treasury), (2023).

Image credits: Adobe stock